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#### Abstract

Several new nucleoside (12-15) and nucleoside phosphonate (27-30) analogues derived from ( $\pm$ )-cisand -trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolane have been prepared and their configurations assigned by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. First-order rate constants for the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of the dioxolane ring have been determined at different hydronium ion concentrations. The phosphonate group accelerates the hydrolysis at $\mathrm{pH}>2$ by 2 orders of magnitude, while at $\mathrm{pH}<1$ the hydrolytic stabilities of the nucleoside analogues and their phosphonate derivatives are comparable. The possible reasons for this rate-enhancement are discussed on the basis of the reaction mechanism.


## Introduction

Numerous nucleoside analogues are known to possess moderate antiviral activity against human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). ${ }^{1}$ Several structural analogues of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-dideoxyribonucleosides containing an additional heteroatom in their pentofuranosyl ring belong to this category. For example, nucleosides derived from 1,3-dioxolane (compounds 1, 2) have recently been shown to exhibit marked anti-HIV activity. ${ }^{2-4}$ It is worth noting that not only are the cis-isomers 1 , i.e. the analogues of normal $\beta$-nucleosides active, but also their transcounterparts 2 . We have previously ${ }^{5-7}$ synthesized two sets of structurally modified dioxolane nucleosides, 3 and $\mathbf{4}$, in which the nucleobase is bonded to C-2 of the dioxolane ring via an extra methylene group. They turned out to be inactive against HIV-1 (CEM), herpes simplex virus (HSV), human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), varicella zoster virus (VZV) and human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) at mass concentrations up to 100 mg $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, and were nontoxic towards CEM and HFF cells. ${ }^{8}$ However, their triphosphates were incorporated into DNA by both DNA polymerase and reverse transcriptase. ${ }^{9}$ Accordingly, one may speculate that the inactivity of compounds of type 3 and 4 results from the inability of cellular and viral kinases to recognize these nucleosides. Since this initial phosphorylation step may be circumvented by replacing the nucleosides with their phosphonates, we now report on the synthesis of four new dioxolane nucleosides (compounds 12-15) and their phosphonate derivatives (27-30). In these compounds, the nucleobase is attached via a methylene group to C-4 of the dioxolane ring. In other words, they are structurally more closely related than are compounds of type 3 and 4 to those dioxolane nucleosides (1,2) that are known to be biologically active. Since hydrolytic stability plays some role in assessing the applicability of an antiviral agent, kinetic data for the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of these compounds are also given. In particular, the relatively low hydrolytic stability of the phosphonates is discussed.

## Results and discussion

## Synthetic procedure

The dioxolane nucleoside analogues $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 5}$ were prepared by the route depicted in Scheme 1. Accordingly, 1-O-benzoylglycerol ${ }^{10}$ was initially oxidized to benzoyloxyacetaldehyde 5 with sodium periodate. Acid-catalysed acetalization of aldehyde
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5 with 1-O-tosylglycerol ${ }^{11} 6$ then gave a mixture of $( \pm)$-cisand ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-benzoyloxymethyl-4-tosyloxymethyl-1,3-dioxolane 7 in $57 \%$ yield. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, both isomers were produced in approximately equal amounts. Consistent with previous observations, ${ }^{12.13}$ alkylation of the sodium salt of thymine with tosyl ester 7 gave a mixture of $N^{1}, N^{3}$-bis- and $N^{1}$-alkylated products, while the sodium salt of adenine was alkylated at $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{7}$. Separation of the regioisomers on silica gel yielded diastereoisomeric mixtures $\mathbf{8 / 9}$ ( $16 \%$ yield), and $10 / 11$ ( $41 \%$ yield). With thymine derivatives the separation of $( \pm)$-cis- and $( \pm)$-trans-isomer ( 8 and 9$)$ was achieved by reversed-phase chromatography on a Bondesil C18 column with a mixture of water and acetonitrile ( $4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as eluent. Owing to incomplete separation, the yields of compounds 8 and 9 were low. The diastereoisomeric adenine derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 )}$ were separated by crystallization of the ( $\pm$ )-cis-isomer 10 from the mixture of stereoisomers 10 and 11 in ethanol and then the ( $\pm$ )-trans-isomer from the residual mixture in ethyl acetate. Debenzoylation in methanolic ammonia finally gave the deprotected nucleosides 12-15 as racemic mixtures.
Scheme 2 shows the strategy applied to obtain the nucleoside phosphonate analogues 27-30. Acid-catalysed transacetalization of 1-(2,3-dihydroxy)uracil ${ }^{11} 18$ and 1-(2,3-dihydroxy)thymine ${ }^{14} 16$ with the diethyl acetal of bromoacetaldehyde in acetonitrile gave mixtures of the ( $\pm$ )-cis- and ( $\pm$ )-trans-isomer ( $\mathbf{1 9} / 20$ and $21 / 22$ ). The uracil derivatives 19 ( $32 \%$ ) and 20 $(27 \%)$ were separated by silica gel chromatography. Attempts to separate the thymine derivatives, 21 and 22, by the same method failed. According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy the ratio of the cis- to trans-isomer in the mixture of the thymine derivatives was $2: 1$. Diastereoisomers 19 and 20, and the mixture of thymines 21 and 22, were converted into phosphonates by an Arbuzov reaction, i.e. by prolonged heating with triisopropyl


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-resin, abs. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux; ii, thymine or adenine, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; iii, aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; iv, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Note: The syntheses are racemic throughout. Numbering scheme applies to both cis and trans isomers 7-15.
phosphite, followed by removal of the isopropyl groups with trimethylsilyl bromide ${ }^{15}$ in acetonitrile. The products were purified by ion-exchange chromatography. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the products obtained from uracils 19 and 20 revealed that the deblocking was accompanied by isomerization to a $1: 1$ mixture. Of the stereoisomeric mixtures, only the mixture of thymine phosphonates 29 and 30 (both as racemic mixtures) was successfully separated by preparative reversed-phase chromatography.

## Structure elucidation by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy

The structure of the compounds prepared was verified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, as described previously ${ }^{5-7}$ in detail for compounds 3 and 4. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the data obtained. The assignment of cis/trans configuration was additionally verified by NOE spectroscopy. ${ }^{6}$ Irradiation at the frequency of $2-\mathrm{H}$ of compounds $8-11$ resulted in a rather marked nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) on the neighbouring methylene protons (total effect $>4 \%$ ) and on the cis-oriented $5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}(1.5-2.1 \%)$, while practically no NOE on the trans-located $5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}$ could be observed. The trans-configuration of compounds 9 and 11 was confirmed by the absence of an NOE on 4-H. With the cis-derivatives, 8 and 10, this proton exhibited an NOE of $1.7-2.3 \%$. The structure of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was also confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{16}$

$18 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{Ura}$ $16 \mathrm{~B}=$ Thy
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Scheme 2 Reagents: i, $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}, \mathrm{PTSA}, \mathrm{MeCN}$; ii, $\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$; iii, $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiBr}, \mathrm{MeCN}$. Note: The syntheses are racemic throughout Numbering scheme applies to both cis and trans isomers 19-30.

The structure of ( $\pm$ )-cis- and ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-bromomethyl-4-[(uracil-1-yl)methyl]-1,3-dioxolanes 19 and $\mathbf{2 0}$ was also confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The configurational assignment is based on the chemical shift of the $2-\mathrm{H}$ triplet. It is known that with 2,4 -substituted-1,3-dioxolanes $2-\mathrm{H}$ of the $c i$-isomer resonates at a higher field than that of a trans-isomer. ${ }^{17}$ Introduction of a phosphonate residue in dioxolane nucleosides decreased the chemical shifts of the neighbouring methylene protons by more than 1 ppm , and resulted in appearance of $J_{2 \cdot \mathrm{p}}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In other words, the triplet of 2-H of uracil derivatives 19 and 20 was converted into a doublet of triplets with the $( \pm)$-cis-isomer $23\left(J_{2, \mathrm{P}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and to quartet $\left(J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=J_{2, \mathrm{P}}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) with the $( \pm)$-trans-isomer 24.

## Acid-catalysed hydrolysis

Previous investigations on the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of 1,3-dioxolanes ${ }^{18.19}$ and their nucleoside analogues 3 and $4(\mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{Ade}, \mathrm{Ura})^{5}$ suggest that the hydrolysis of compounds 12-15 follows the mechanism depicted in Scheme 3. Preequilibrium protonation of one of the ring oxygens is followed by a unimolecular rate-determining opening of the dioxolane ring with concomitant formation of an acyclic oxocarbenium ion. The subsequent steps, viz. formation and hydrolysis of the hemiacetal, are fast. Consistent with this mechanism: (i) only one UV-absorbing product was observed when the hydrolysis of compounds 12-15 in hydrochloric acid was followed by HPLC; (ii) this product was chromatographically identical with an authentic sample of the corresponding 2,3-

Table $1{ }^{1}$ H NMR chemical shifts for the dioxolane nucleosides 12-15 and their protected precursors 8-11 ${ }^{a}$

| Compd. | Base moiety |  |  | Dioxolane moiety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NH | 2-H | $2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\text {a }}$ | $2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ | 4-H | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ | 5-H ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5-\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ |
| $8^{\text {b }}$ | 7.03 | 1.72 | 8.45 | 5.21 | 4.43 | 4.38 | 4.36 | 4.06 | 3.52 | 3.78 | $4.02{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $9^{\text {b }}$ | 7.05 | 1.85 | 8.52 | 5.35 | 4.32 | 4.28 | 4.42 | 3.96 | 3.67 | 4.17 | $3.66{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $10^{\text {b }}$ | 8.19 | 7.85 |  | 5.16 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.42 | 4.37 | 4.15 | 3.80 | $4.00^{\text {c }}$ |
| $11^{\text {b }}$ | 8.21 | 7.88 |  | 5.29 | 4.25 | 4.24 | 4.51 | 4.35 | 4.23 | 4.17 | $3.64{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $12^{d}$ | 7.40 | 1.74 |  | 4.89 | 3.56 | 3.52 | 4.37 | 3.88 | 3.73 | 3.77 | 3.94 |
| $13{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.37 | 1.75 |  | 5.05 | 3.52 | 3.48 | 4.40 | 3.84 | 3.77 | 4.08 | 3.65 |
| $14^{d}$ | 8.01 | 7.94 |  | 4.83 | 3.44 | 3.38 | 4.42 | 4.26 | 4.15 | 3.82 | 3.92 |
| $15^{\text {d }}$ | 8.52 | 8.50 |  | 5.44 | 3.96 | 3.96 | 4.99 | 4.78 | 4.72 | 4.62 | 4.16 |

${ }^{a}$ Given as $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ from $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ at 300 K . $5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}$ cis to the $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; 5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ trans to the $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. Designations $2^{\prime}-$ and $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\text {a.b }}$ are arbitrary. ${ }^{b}$ In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.
${ }^{c}$ Benzoyl group: $\delta 8.03$ (d), 7.59 (t), 7.46 (t). ${ }^{d} \mathrm{In}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Table 2 Vicinal ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ coupling constants for the dioxolane nucleosides $12-15$ and their protected precursors 8-11 ${ }^{a}$

| Compd. | $J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}$ | $J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}$ | $J_{2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}, 2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}$ | $J_{4.4^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}$ | $J_{4.4^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}$ | $J_{44^{\prime} \mathrm{a}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}$ | $J_{4.5 \mathrm{a}}$ | $J_{4.5 \mathrm{~b}}$ | $J_{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8}^{b}$ | 3.5 | 3.6 | -12.0 | 2.7 | 7.4 | -14.3 | 5.6 | 6.8 | -8.7 |
| $\mathbf{9}^{b}$ | 3.9 | 3.9 | -11.9 | 3.0 | 6.9 | -14.5 | 6.3 | 6.8 | -8.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{b}$ | 3.6 | 3.6 | -12.0 | 3.2 | 6.9 | -14.5 | 5.2 | 6.7 | -8.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}^{b}$ | 3.5 | 4.2 | -12.0 | 3.3 | 6.4 | -14.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | -8.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}^{c}$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | -12.7 | 3.4 | 7.5 | -14.6 | 4.7 | 6.8 | -8.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3.1 | 3.1 | -12.6 | 3.7 | 7.6 | -14.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 | -8.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}^{c}$ | 2.8 | 2.8 | -12.8 | 3.3 | 7.4 | -14.8 | 4.2 | 6.8 | -9.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}^{c}$ | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.7 | 6.6 | -14.7 | 6.6 | 5.8 | -8.8 |

${ }^{a}$ Given in Hz . For the designation of protons as a and b, see footnote a of Table $1 .{ }^{b}$ In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .{ }^{c} \operatorname{In}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
dihydroxypropyl derivative (16, 17); and (iii) the entropies of activation (Table 3) were only slightly negative, as expected for a reaction having a unimolecular rate-limiting stage. ${ }^{20}$ As reported previously, ${ }^{21}$ reactions proceeding by unimolecular rate-limiting opening of a five-membered ring tend to exhibit slightly more negative $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$-values than do their acyclic counterparts. With both types of dioxolane nucleoside (3/4 ${ }^{5}$ and $12 / 13$ or $14 / 15$ ) the cis-isomer is hydrolysed twice as readily as is the trans-isomer.

Dioxolanes 12/13 and 14/15 are hydrolysed considerably faster than are the corresponding derivatives of their regioisomers 3 and 4. With adenine derivatives, for example, the reactivity difference is 60 -fold. This is expected on the basis of the mechanism described. The nucleobases as electronegative substituents retard the hydrolysis of the dioxolane nucleosides compared with unsubstituted dioxolane; they decrease the basicity of the ring oxygens and destabilize the oxocarbenium ion intermediate. The distance between the nucleobase and the oxocarbenium ion is larger with compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 5}$ than with regioisomers 3 and 4, and hence the rate retardation is smaller. A similar reasoning, bearing in mind that the effect on oxocarbenium ion is more important than the effect on protonation, ${ }^{22}$ suggests that the hydrolysis of compounds 12-15 favours route B (Scheme 3). It is also worth noting that the hydrolysis of compounds $\mathbf{1 2} / 14$ and $13 / 15$ is less susceptible to the structure of the nucleobase than is the hydrolysis of regioisomers 3 and 4. With compounds 3 and 4 the uracil derivatives were observed to be hydrolysed one order of magnitude faster than were the adenine derivatives. This reactivity difference was attributed to the fact that protonation of the adenine moiety at $\mathrm{pH}<4$ strongly increases its electronwithdrawing effect, while the uracil moiety remains unprotonated. With compounds $12 / 14$ and $13 / 15$ the adenine derivatives are hydrolysed almost as readily as are the thymine derivatives. The protonation state obviously does not play such a decisive role any more, since the nucleobase is not bonded directly to the oxocarbenium ion centre.
The phosphonate derivatives 29 and 30 of the thymine dioxolane nucleosides were hydrolysed in $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ hydro-

Table 3 First-order rate constants and the enthalpies and entropies of activation for the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of dioxolane nucleoside analogues 12-15 and their phosphonate derivatives 29, 30 in 1.00 mol $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ hydrochloric acid at 333.2 K

| Compd. | $k / 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $5.19 \pm 0.04$ | $88 \pm 2$ | $-43 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $2.39 \pm 0.08$ | $102 \pm 3$ | $-9 \pm 7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $2.42 \pm 0.11$ | $96 \pm 4$ | $-26 \pm 9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $1.21 \pm 0.12$ | $104 \pm 7$ | $-8 \pm 19$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $14.5 \pm 1.8$ | $88 \pm 9$ | $-37 \pm 26$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $7.90 \pm 0.20$ | $93 \pm 2$ | $-25 \pm 5$ |



Scheme 3


Fig. 1 pH -rate profiles for the hydrolysis of dioxolane nucleoside analogues and their phosphonate derivatives at 363.2 K . The ionic strength of the solutions was adjusted to $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ with sodium chloride. Notation: ( $\nabla$ ) 12; ( $\nabla$ ) 13; (○) 14; ( $\boldsymbol{( 1 5}$; (■) 30; ( $\square$ ) 31.
chloric acid about 3 times as fast as were the parent nucleosides (Table 3). As with compounds 12 and 13, the only UVabsorbing product is 1-(2,3-dihydroxypropyl)thymine 16 . Even the enthalpies and entropies of activation of the hydrolysis of nucleosides and their phosphonate analogues were nearly equal (Table 3). However, a remarkable difference was observed in the pH-rate profiles of the hydrolysis (Fig. 1). While the rate of hydrolysis of dioxolane nucleosides was strictly proportional to the hydronium ion concentration of the solution, the profile obtained with the phosphonate analogue 30 showed a marked curvature in the acidity region from $H_{0}=0$ to pH 2 . At pH 3 the phosphonate 30 was hydrolysed 100-times faster than was the corresponding nucleoside analogue. At $H_{0}<0$ and $\mathrm{pH}>$ 2 , the rate of hydrolysis of compound 30 also seemed to be proportional to the acidity of the solution. The nonlinearity of the rate profile most probably arose from the change of the ionic form of the phosphonate moiety. Alkanephosphonic acids are $0.5 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$-units less acidic than their alkyl phosphate analogues. ${ }^{23}$ The first $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$-value of adenosine monophosphate ( $2^{\prime}-$ and $3^{\prime}$-AMP) has been shown to be 1.3 under the experimental conditions used here $\left(T=363.2 \mathrm{~K} ; I=0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right) .{ }^{24}$ Accordingly, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of alkanephosphonate 30 may be estimated to be $\sim 1.8$. At $\mathrm{pH}>\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ the phosphonate exists mainly as monoanion, which makes the dioxolane ring oxygens more basic, and also stabilizes the oxocarbenium intermediate. Both of these factors enhance the rate of hydrolysis of the monoionic form of phosphonate $\mathbf{3 0}$. Under very acidic conditions the phosphonate moiety remains protonated, and hence the reactivity difference between a nucleoside and its phosphonate derivative is small.

For comparison, we also recorded the pH -rate profile for depurination of a known ${ }^{25}$ phosphonate analogue of $5^{\prime}$-AMP (compound 31). The results (Fig. 1) are similar to those reported earlier ${ }^{26}$ for $5^{\prime}$-AMP: the rate profile shows a slight curvature between pH 1 and 2 , although the rate profile for depurination of adenosine is strictly linear. Accordingly, the effects of the $5^{\prime}-$ phosphate and $5^{\prime}$-phosphonate groups on the hydrolysis rate of adenosine are similar, and much smaller than that observed with compound $\mathbf{3 0}$. Hydrolysis of purine nucleosides and nucleotides involves rate-limiting formation of an oxocarbenium ion with positive charge localized at $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{26-28}$ Owing to
the long distance to the reaction centre, the inductive effect of the $5^{\prime}$-phosphate or -phosphonate group of a nucleoside is much less effectively reflected in the hydrolysis rate than is that of a 2-phosphonate group on hydrolysis of the 1,3-dioxolane 30.


The rate profiles of compounds $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ shown in Fig. 1 are those calculated by least-squares fitting to equation (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=\left(k_{1}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+k_{2} K_{\mathrm{a}}\right) /\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are rate constants for the hydrolysis of the neutral and monoanionic phosphonate, respectively, and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the first acidity constant of the phosphonate group. The values obtained for the rate constants are $k_{1}=(4.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$; $k_{2}=(0.75 \pm 0.14)$ with compound 30 , and $k_{1}=(7.1 \pm 0.4) \times$ $10^{-3} ; k_{2}=(18 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with compound 31. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$-values obtained from the same fitting are nearly equal for both compounds: 2.2 and 2.1 ( $\pm 0.2$ ) with compounds 30 and 31, respectively.

## Experimental

## General

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX 400 spectrometer, and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra on a JEOL JNM-A500 spectrometer ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 126 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}: 202 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) at 300 K . A $135^{\circ}$ pulse for protons was employed to separate the $\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT spectra. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts were referenced to the solvent $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $39.50]$ and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ shifts to external orthophosphoric acid. $J$ Values are in Hz . The mass spectra (EI) were recorded on a VG 7070 E spectrometer with a direct inlet system. The UV spectra were recorded on a Specord UV-VIS spectrometer. TLC separations were carried out on Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck) using the following developing solvents: $(\mathrm{A})$ chloroform, $(\mathrm{B})$ chloro-form-ethanol ( $95: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), (C) chloroform-ethanol ( $90: 10$ ), (D) propan-2-ol-ammonia-water ( $7: 1: 2$ ). Silica gel L ( $40-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Shemapol) and Silpearl (Shemapol) were employed for adsorption chromatography. Ion-exchange chromatography was carried out on DEAE-cellulose (Whatman). Preparative lowpressure reversed-phase chromatography was performed on Bondesil C18 (40 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Analitichem International). Semipreparative reversed-phase HPLC was performed on a Merck LiChrospher RP-18 column ( $10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and analytical HPLC on a Hypersil ODS column ( $4 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). An acetic acid-sodium acetate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 4.3 ;\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right]=$ $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ ), either as such (solvent E ) or with $5 \%$ (v/v) acetonitrile (solvent $F$ ), was employed as eluent. A flow rate $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ was used with the semi-preparative column, and $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \min ^{-1}$ with the analytical one

## Benzoyloxyacetaldehyde 5

1-O-Benzoylglycerol ${ }^{10}(14.9 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in aq. 1,4-dioxane ( $1: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} ; 60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Aq. sodium periodate ( 17.9 g , 83.6 mmol in $80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture was kept at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The precipitate thus formed was filtered off, and washed with 1,4 -dioxane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined filtrates and washings were concentrated by evaporation to $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, and
the additional precipitate was filtered off. The solution was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved in chloroform ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the solution was washed with water $(3 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated to dryness, and dried in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to yield a syrup (11.3 g, $91 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.83$ (system C); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 8.06-7.42(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Bz}), 5.37$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 5.0, \mathrm{CH})$ and $4.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis/trans-2-Benzoyloxymethyl-4-toxylmethyl-1,3dioxolanes 7

A mixture of aldehyde $5(11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \mathrm{mmol}), 1-O$-tosylglycerol ${ }^{11} 6$ $(17 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Dowex 50 ion-exchange resin $\left(1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$ form) in dry chloroform ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated for 2 h with a Dean-Stark adapter filled with chloroform. The resin was filtered off, and washed with chloroform. Saturated aq. sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added to the combined filtrates. The organic layer was separated, washed with water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified on a silica gel column ( 100 g ) eluted with chloroform. Compound 7 was obtained as a syrup ( $15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34$ (solvent A); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.04-7.19(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ts}, \mathrm{Bz} \mathrm{ArH}), 5.25(0.5$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J_{2.2} \cdot 3.5$, trans $\left.2-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.17\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J_{2.2} 3.5\right.$, cis $\left.2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.43-$ $3.85\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $2.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$. Therefore, an equimolar mixture of cis and trans isomers had been obtained.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis and -trans-2-Benzoyloxymethyl-4-[(thymin-1-yl)-methyl]-1,3-dioxolane 8 and 9

To a suspension of dry thymine ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dimethylformamide (DMF) ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added sodium hydride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol} ; 60 \%$ in oil) and the mixture was stirred for 20 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of compound $7(3.57 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1$ mmol ) in DMF ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added, and the mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . After cooling of the mixture to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtration, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in chloroform ( $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the solution was washed with water $\left(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness. The product was purified on a silica column ( 70 g ). Elution with solvent A (chloroform) gave recovered compound $7(2.38 \mathrm{~g})$ and $N^{1}, N^{3}$-bis-alkylated thymine $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%)$. Further elution with eluent B gave a mixture of compounds 8 and $9(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.40$ (solvent B).

The diastereoisomeric mixture of compounds 8 and 9 was separated on a Bondesil C18 column ( 100 g ) with aq. acetonitrile $(4: 1)$ as eluent. The separation was not complete, and the mixed fractions were, after evaporation, rechromatographed in a similar manner. The products were dissolved in chloroform ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the solution was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. The yield of the cis-isomer 8 , after crystallization from ethanol, was $150 \mathrm{mg}(5 \%)$, mp 124.5$125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Total yield of compound $9\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{121-123}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was $(100$ $\mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are given in Tables 1 and 2.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis and -trans-4-[(Adenin-9-yl)methyl]-2-benzoyloxy-methyl-1,3-dioxolanes 10 and 11

Compounds 10 and 11 were prepared by alkylation of the sodium salt of adenine ( $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with tosyl ester 7 ( $3.92 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as described above for compounds 8 and 9. Isolation on silica gel (system C) gave a mixture of title compounds 10 and $11(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.60$. Further elution with the same eluent gave the corresponding mixture of the $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ isomers $(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.42$. The mixture of isomers 10 and 11 was subjected twice to crystallization from ethanol to obtain the cis-isomer $10(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%), \mathrm{mp} 198-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The combined filtrates were evaporated and the residue was crystallized twice from ethyl acetate to yield the trans-isomer $11(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%), \mathrm{mp}$ $156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are included in Tables 1 and 2.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis-2-Hydroxymethyl-4-[(thymin-1-yl)methyl]-1,3-dioxo-

 lane 12A solution of benzoate $8(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ methanolic ammonia ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was stored for 3 days at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in water $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution was extracted with chloroform $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and the organic layer was washed with water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined aqueous layers were evaporated to dryness and the residue was crystallized from ethanol. The yield of title compound 12 was $(50 \mathrm{mg}$, $71 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37$ (system C); mp $160-161.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC retention time $\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ was $9.0 \mathrm{~min}($ system F$) ; \lambda_{\max } 272 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 1-7(\varepsilon$ $10300 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and 271 nm at $\mathrm{pH} 12\left(\varepsilon 7840 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data see Tables 1 and $2 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$)$ $141.9,104.2,73.28$ and $11.62 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.60,61.66$ and 49.12; $m / z 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6 \%\right), 211(100), 166(5), 140(8)$ and $122(24)$.

## ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-Hydroxymethyl-4-[(thymin-1-yl)methyl]-1,3dioxolane 13

Compound 13 was prepared from benzoate $9(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145$ mmol) as described above for compound 12. The yield of product 13 was $23 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37$ (solvent C); $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{137-139}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH); $t_{\mathbf{R}} 7.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (system F); $\lambda_{\text {max }} 272 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 1-7(\varepsilon$ $\left.9860 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and 271 nm at $\mathrm{pH} 12\left(\varepsilon 7560 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data see Tables 1 and $2 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$)$ $141.7,103.4,73.25$ and $11.64 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.50,61.92$ and 48.46 ; $m /=242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%\right), 224$ (6), 211 (100), 182 (3), 165 (3), 140 (9) and 122 (32).
( $\pm$ )-cis-4-[(Adenin-9-yl)methyl]-2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-dioxolane 14
Compound 14 was obtained from benzoate 10 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85$ mol ) as described above for compound 12. The yield of title compound 14 was $160 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.17$ (system C); $\mathrm{mp} 220-$ $221.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH); $t_{\mathrm{R}} 10.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (system F ); $\lambda_{\max } 261 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7-12\left(\varepsilon 14450 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and 258 nm at $\mathrm{pH} 2(\varepsilon$ $14120 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data see Tables 1 and 2 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$) 152.1,141.1,104.3$ and $73.59 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.69$, 61.58 and $45.23 ; m / z 251\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22 \%\right), 220(85), 191$ (100), 176 (80), 148 (63) and 135 (87).
( $\pm$ )-trans-4-[(Adenin-9-yl)methyl]-2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-dioxolane 15
Compound 15 was prepared from benzoate 11 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56$ mol ) as described above for compound 12. The yield of title product 15 was $100 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.17$ (system C); mp 192$193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ from EtOH$) ; t_{\mathrm{R}} 8.0 \mathrm{~min}($ system F$) ; \lambda_{\text {max }} 261 \mathrm{~nm}$ at pH 7-12 $\left(\varepsilon 13950 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and 258 nm at $\mathrm{pH} 2(\varepsilon 13690$ $\left.\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data see Tables 1 and $2 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$) 152.2,140.9,103.6$ and $73.51 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.56,61.90$ and 44.37; m/z $251\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4 \%\right), 233(27), 220(100), 191(41), 176(48)$, 148 (15) and 135 (15).

## ( $\pm$ )-cis and -trans-2-Bromomethyl-4-[(uracil-1-yl)methyl]-1,3dioxolanes 19 and 20

A mixture of 1-(2,3-dihydroxypropyl)uracil ${ }^{14} 18(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.69$ mmol ), toluene-p-sulfonic acid ( 25 mg ) and the diethyl acetal of bromoacetaldehyde $\left(0.31 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in acetonitrile ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was refluxed for more than 10 h . After evaporation, the residue was dissolved in chloroform $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution was washed successively with saturated aq. sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and water $\left(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness. Title products 19 and 20 were separated on a Silpearl column $(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~cm})$ eluted with chloroform.

Elution gave cis-isomer $19(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.45$ (system B); mp $164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) (from $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J_{6.5} 7.9,6-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.06(1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{t}, J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}} 3.0,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dddd}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{4 \cdot \mathrm{a}, 4} 2.7, J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{a}, 4 \cdot \mathrm{~b}}-14.0,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 4.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{5 \mathrm{~b} .4} 7.0, J_{5 \mathrm{a} .5 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ $\left.-8.9,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{5 \mathrm{a} .4} 5.2,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 366\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4 \mathrm{~b} .4}\right.$ $\left.7.9,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{2^{\prime} \mathrm{a} \cdot 2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}-11.6,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $3.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $2^{\prime}-\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ).

Also eluted was trans-isomer $20(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.41$ (system B); mp 143-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{6,5}\right.$ $7.9,6-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J_{2.2} \cdot \mathrm{a}=J_{2.2} \cdot \mathrm{~b}=4.0,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $4-\mathrm{H}), 4.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{5 \mathrm{a}, 4} 6.3, J_{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}}-8.9,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 4.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4 \cdot \mathrm{a} .4} 2.9, J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{a} .4^{\mathrm{b}}}-\right.$ $\left.14.5,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{b}, 4} 6.7,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.71\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{5 \mathrm{~b}, 4}\right.$ $6.4,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and $3.37\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis/trans-2-Bromomethyl-4-[(thymin-1-yl)methyl]-1,3dioxolanes 21 and 22

The mixture of products $\mathbf{2 1}$ and 22 was prepared analogously to the uracil analogues 19 and 20 by reaction of 1-(2,3dihydroxypropyl)thymine ${ }^{11} 16(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ with the diethyl acetal of bromoacetaldehyde ( $0.31 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Chromatography on a silica gel column with solvent A gave a mixture of compounds 21 and $22(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.50$ (system B); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.19\left(0.66 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{6.5} 1.2\right.$, cis $6-\mathrm{H}$ of thymine $), 7.05$ $\left(0.33 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{6.5} 1.2\right.$, trans $6-\mathrm{H}$ of thymine), $5.20\left(0.33 \mathrm{H}, J_{2.2} \cdot \mathrm{a}=\right.$ $J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=4.0$, trans 2-H), $5.04\left(0.66 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=3.2\right.$, cis $2-\mathrm{H}), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.30\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-, 4^{\prime}\right.$ - and $\left.5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{Me}$ of thymine).

## ( $\pm$ )-cis-2-[(Diisopropylphosphono)methyl]-4-[(uracil-1-yl)-methyl]-1,3-dioxolane 23

A solution of compound $19(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mol})$ in triisopropyl phosphite ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated for 7 days. The mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and the residue was applied to a silica column ( 70 g ). The column was washed with chloroform, and the product was eluted with chloroform containing $2.5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol to give compound $23(52.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $41 \%), R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.61$ (solvent C), 0.70 (solvent D ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.47$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J_{5.6} 7.5,5-\mathrm{H}\right.$ of uracil), 5.10 $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=4.7, J_{2 . \mathrm{p}} 7.8,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.83-4.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.J_{\text {CH.Me }} 7.0, J_{\text {CH.P }} 5.5, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $4-\mathrm{H}), 4.13-3.61$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{2^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{P}} 18.5,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and 1.31 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Me} e_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ).

## ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-[(Diisopropylphosphono)methyl]-4-[(uracil-1-yl)-methyl]-1,3-dioxolane 24

Compound 24 was prepared, analogously to its stereoisomer 23, from compound $20(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $56 \%$ yield ( 70 mg ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56$ (system C), 0.68 (system D); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.32$ ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J_{5.6} 7.5,5-\mathrm{H}\right.$ of uracil), 5.25 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=J_{2 . \mathrm{P}}=4.5,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.80-4.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.J_{\text {CH, Me }} 6.0, J_{\text {CH, } P} 5.0, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $4-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.15 $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{5 \mathrm{a} .4} 6.3, J_{5 \mathrm{a} .5 \mathrm{~b}}-8.5,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 4.09\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{a} .4^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}\right.$ $\left.-14.0,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $\left.J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} .4} 7.5,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\left.J_{5 \mathrm{~b} .4} 6.5,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{2^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}} 18.5,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and 1.29 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ).
( $\pm$ )-cis/trans-2-[(Diisopropylphosphono)methyl]-4-[(thymin-1-yl)methyl]-1,3-dioxolanes 25 and 26
A mixture of compounds 25 and 26 was prepared as described for compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ from the above mixture of bromides $\mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ $\left(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) in $53 \%$ yield ( 100 mg ), $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.52$ (solvent C), 0.68 (solvent D); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 9.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.31\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{6.5}\right.$ 1.1, cis $6-\mathrm{H}$ of thymine), $7.13\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{6.5} 1.1\right.$, trans $6-\mathrm{H}$ of thymine), $5.27\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{2,2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=J_{2 . \mathrm{P}}=5.1\right.$, trans $2-$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.09\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J_{2.2 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=4.9, J_{2 . \mathrm{P}} 7.7\right.$, cis $\left.2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.65$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.35-3.47\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\right.$ and $5-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $2.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{Me}$ of thymine) and $1.25(12$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Me} e_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-cis/trans-2-Phosphonomethyl-4-[(uracil-1-yl)methyl]-1,3dioxolanes 27 and 28
Compound 23 (analogously 24) ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Trimethylsilyl bromide $\left(0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added under nitrogen, and the solution was stored for two days at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was evaporated to dryness, pyridine $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ were added, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was then added and the solution was washed with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and applied to a column of DEAE cellulose ( $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$form; $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The column was washed successively with water ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and 0.05 mol dm ${ }^{-3}$ triethylammonium hydrogen carbonate ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the product was eluted with a $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solution of the same electrolyte. Fractions containing the product were combined, evaporated to dryness and coevaporated with water ( $5 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) to yield a mixture of title compounds 27 and $28(54 \%), R_{f}$ 0.12 (solvent D); $t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (system E); $\lambda_{\text {max }} 266 \mathrm{~nm}$ at pH 7 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 7.65\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J_{6.5} 7.9,6-\mathrm{H}\right.$ of uracil), $7.64\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, J_{6,5}\right.$ 7.9, $6-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), 5.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ of uracil), $5.25(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, trans $2-\mathrm{H}), 5.08(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cis $2-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.20-$ $3.63\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{and} 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $2.03-1.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$.

## ( $\pm$ )-cis and -trans-2-Phosphonomethyl-4-[(thymin-1-yl)-methyl]-1,3-dioxolanes 29 and 30

A mixture of title compounds 29 and 30 ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{2 7} / \mathbf{2 8}$ from the mixture of compounds 25 and $26(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$. The isomers were separated by reversed-phase HPLC (Merck LiChrospher RP-18; $10 \times 250$ $\mathrm{mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Compound 30 was eluted at 30 min and 29 at 36 min with solvent E at a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Buffer salts were removed by elution of the products through the same column with water. The yield of compound 30 was $11 \mathrm{mg}, R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.14$ (solvent D); $t_{\mathrm{R}} 13.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (system E); $\lambda_{\text {max }} 266 \mathrm{~nm}$ at pH 7 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 7.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, J_{6.5} 1.2,6-\mathrm{H}\right.$ of thymine), $5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $\left.J_{2.2 \cdot \mathrm{a}}=J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=4.3, J_{2, \mathrm{P}} 5.8,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 4.08(1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{5 \mathrm{a} .4} 6.3, J_{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}}-9.3,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4^{\prime} \mathrm{a} .4} 2.9\right.$, $J_{4 \cdot \mathrm{a} .4}{ }^{\prime}$ b $\left.-14.7,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.71\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{4^{\prime} \text { b. } .4} 8.1,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ), $3.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\left.J_{5 \mathrm{~b}, 4} 6.4,5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.97-1.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $5-\mathrm{Me}$ of thymine); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$)$ 142.1, 100.9, 72.79 and $11.57 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.21,48.26$ and $34.58(\mathrm{~d}, J 128) ; \delta_{\mathrm{P}}\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$; $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ] 15.2.
Compound $29(\sim 5 \mathrm{mg})$ was also obtained, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.14$ (solvent D); $t_{\mathrm{R}} 11.6 \mathrm{~min}\left(\right.$ system E); $\lambda_{\text {max }} 266 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 7.38$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J_{6.5} 1.2,6-\mathrm{H}\right.$ of thymine), $4.97\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{a}}=\right.$ $\left.J_{2.2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}}=J_{2 . \mathrm{P}}=4.0,2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, 4-H), 3.87-3.71 (4 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{and} 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.90\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 5-\mathrm{Me}$ of thymine); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and CH$) 142.3,101.9,73.07$ and 11.51; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 66.23,49.16$ and $34.57(\mathrm{~d}, J 131) ; \delta_{\mathrm{P}}\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$; $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ] 15.9 .

## Kinetic measurements

The HPLC technique utilized for kinetic runs has been described previously. ${ }^{28}$ Chromatographic separations were carried out on a LiChroSorb RP-18 column ( $125 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$; 5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). Acetic acid-sodium acetate buffer at pH 4.2 , containing $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{aq}$. ammonium chloride and $5 \%$ (v/v) acetonitrile, was employed as eluent. The initial concentration of the dioxolane derivative studied was $2-5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ in each run. The rate constants for hydrolysis were calculated by applying the integrated first-order rate equation to the disappearance of the starting material.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Research Council for Natural Sciences of the Academy of Finland, Russian Ministry of

Science, High School and Technical Policy, and Russian Fund of Fundamental Sciences (project 93-04-7607) is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

1 Advances in Antiviral Drug Design, ed. E. De Clerq, JAI Press, Greenwich, Connecticut, USA, 1993, vol. 1.
2 D. W. Norbeck, S. Spanton, S. Broder and H. Mitsuya, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 6263.
3 B. R. Belleau, C. A. Evans, H. L. A. Tse, H. Jin, D. M. Dixit and T. S. Mansour, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6949.

4 H. O. Kim, R. F. Schinazi, K. Shanmuganathan, L. S. Jeong, J. W. Beach, S. Nampalli, D. L. Cannon and C. K. Chu, J. Med. Chem., 1993, 36, 519.
5 E. V. Efimtseva, S. N. Mikhailov, S. V. Meshkov and H. Lönnberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 1992, 46, 1122.
6 S. N. Mikhailov, E. V. Efimtseva, S. V. Meshkov and H. Lönnberg, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1993, 58 Special Issue, 68.
7 E. V. Efimtseva, S. N. Mikhailov, S. V. Meshkov, A. V. Bochkarev and G. V. Gurskaya, Bioorg. Khim. (Russia), 1993, 19, 103 (Chem. Abstr., 1993, 119, 73010p).
8 S. N. Mikhailov, E. V. Efimtseva, A. V. Bochkarev, G. V. Gurskaya, L. S. Victorova and E. R. Kern, Antiviral Res., 1994, 23 Supplement I, 65.
9 E. V. Efimtseva, S. N. Mikhailov, L. S. Victorova, T. A. Rosovskaya and R. Sh. Beabealashvilli, Nucleosides Nucleotides, in the press.
10 K. K. Ogilvie, H. R. Hanna and Z. Proba, Nucleosides Nucleotides, 1986, 5, 169.
11 A. Holy, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1975, 40, 187.
12 S. N. Mikhailov, L. I. Kolobushkina, A. M. Kritzyn, N. Ch. Padyukova and V. L. Florentiev, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., 1974, 11, 2582 (Chem. Abstr., 1975, 82, 140078z).

13 A. Holy, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1978, 43, 3103.
14 A. M. Kritzyn, L. I. Kolobushkina, S. N. Mikhailov and V. L. Florentiev, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 1975, 1, 125 (Chem. Abstr., 1975, 83, 9995z).
15 T. Morita, Y. Okamoto and H. Sakurai, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2169.
16 A. V. Bochkarev, E. V. Efimtseva, S. N. Mikhailov and G. V. Gurskaya, Kristallografiya, 1994, 39, 635.

17 W. E. Willy, G. Binsch and E. L. Eliel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5394.

18 P. Salomaa and A. Kankaanperä, Acta Chem. Scand., 1961, 15, 871.
19 A. Kankaanperä, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. A I, No. 95, 1966 (Chem. Abstr., 1967, 67, 53495e).
20 F. A. Long, J. G. Pritchard and F. E. Stafford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 2362.
21 H. Lönnberg, A. Kankaanperä and K. Haapakka, Carbohydr. Res., 1977, 56, 277, and references therein.
22 P. Salomaa, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Sect. AII, Chem., 1961, 103, 1.
23 L. D. Freedman and G. O. Doak, Chem. Rev., 1957, 57, 479.
24 M. Oivanen and H. Lönnberg, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 2556.
25 S. N. Mikhailov, N. Sh. Padyukova, M. Ya. Karpeisky, L. I. Kolobushkina and L. N. Beigelman, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1989, 54, 1055.
26 M. Oivanen, E. Darzynkiewicz and H. Lönnberg, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 1988, 42, 250.
27 M. Oivanen, J. Hovinen, P. Lehikoinen and H. Lönnberg, Trends Org. Chem., 1993, 4, 397.
28 M. Oivanen, M. Rajamäki, J. Varila, J. Hovinen, S. Mikhailov and H. Lönnberg, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1994, 309.

Paper 4/07353C
Received 1st December 1994
Accepted 20th February 1995

